Towards Numerical Assistants Trust, Measurement, Community, and Generality for the Numerical Workbench



Pavel Panchekha pavpan@cs.utah.edu University of Utah



Zachary Tatlock ztatlock@cs.washington.edu University of Washington



Writing Numerical Code

Diabolical errors

- Silent, non-compositional, non-local

Subtle tradeoffs

- Performance, accuracy, determinism

Evolving landscape

- bfloat, posits, Flexpoint, AdaptivFloat, ...







Writing Numerical Code



nrime Got it as soon as Wed Jul

Ideal Numerical Coding

- 1. Look up the formula you need from Wikipedia.
- 2. Type formula into your program.
- 3. Get accurate results.

Ideal Numerical Coding

- 1. Look up the formula you need from Wikipedia.
- 2. Type formula into your program.
- 3. Get accurate results.



https://xkcd.com/217/

Ideal Numerical Coding

- 1. Look up the formula you need from Wikipedia.
- 2. Type formula into your program.
- 3. Get accurate results.



Numerical Code in Practice



Blake Courter CAD Researcher



Numerical Code in Practice



Blake Courter CAD Researcher







Blake Courter CAD Researcher









Blake Courter CAD Researcher















Developing since 2015:

1. Trust

- 2. Measurement
- 3. Community
- 4. Generality

First, quick background



Developing since 2015:

1. Trust

- 2. Measurement
- 3. Community
- 4. Generality

Herbie

Math 🗸

 $\frac{x-\sin x}{x-\tan x}$













Herbie

"The drunk numerical analyst"

- edwardkmett 35 points · 4 years ago
- Having applied a few dozen of these changes now I can see how herbie will start to fit into my workflow.

If hlint is like having a drunken undergraduate do a code review, herbie is like having a drunken numerical analyst pore over every line of code you've written offering up suggestions for how to improve stability without much considering the surrounding context. While you probably have access to the former, the latter is a much rarer talent pool.



Herbie

"The drunk numerical analyst"

- edwardkmett 35 points · 4 years ago
- Having applied a few dozen of these changes now I can see how herbie will start to fit into my workflow.

If **hlint** is like having a drunken undergraduate do a code review, **herbie** is like having a drunken numerical analyst pore over every line of code you've written offering up suggestions for how to improve stability without much considering the surrounding context. While you probably have access to the former, the latter is a much rarer talent pool.

Live demo: herbie.uwplse.org



FPBench

Benchmarks

- Mine papers, enable apples-to-apples

Compilers

- Generate C, JavaScript, Scala, TeX, ...

Standards

- Support tool interchange, composition



FPBench

Benchmarks

- Mine papers, enable apples-to-apples

Compilers

- Generate C, JavaScript, Scala, TeX, ...

Standards

- Support tool interchange, composition



Live tour: **fpbench.org**

1. Trust

Why should users trust Herbie?

Compilers forbidden from touching floating point at all ... and they even get that wrong!

Compiler and C library bugs revealed by MPFR

- a bug in 32-bit sparc gcc 2.95.2, when a "double" is passed as last argument of a C function, which produced Bus errors. Re
- a bug in GCC on m68040-unknown-netbsd1.4.1, where DBL_MIN gives (1-2^(-52))/2^1022, reported by Paul Zimmerman
- optimization bug of GCC 3.3 on Alpha with long double, reported by Paul Zimmermann with revision 2542 of MPFR
- bug in LONG MIN / 1 under FreeBSD (this is a bug of the C library of FreeBSD 5.20 on Alpha with GCC 3.3.3), reported
- a compiler bug found on Linux/m68k with GCC 4.0.2, reported by Vincent Lefèvre, found in revision 3945 of MPFR (file to
- 27116 bug of GCC 4.2 reported by Martin Michlmayr when compiling MPFR 2.2.0
- bug of the Sun C compiler under Solaris/x86, reported by Emil Mikulic (affects Sun C 5.8 2005/10/13, Sun C 5.8 Patch 121
- 36296 bug of GCC 4.3.0 reported by Paul Zimmermann when compiling MPFR 2.3.1 (no real bug, but false positive warning
- 36299: a false warning issued by GCC 4.2+, reported by Vincent Lefèvre in revision 5585 of MPFR
- <u>39867</u> bug of GCC 4.4.0 reported by Philippe Théveny when testing the MPFR trunk (does not affect MPFR 2.4.1, but MPF
- 40757 revealed when testing MPFR 2.4.1 on some Solaris machines with GCC 4.4.0 (this is in fact a bug of the Solaris men
- bug with gcc 3.3.2 in AIX 6.1, where "make check" from mpfr 2.4.2 fails, but succeeds with gcc 3.3.2 in AIX 5.3 [reported
- bug with GCC 4.5.2 on powerpc-ibm-aix4.3.2.0 [reported by Daniel Richard G.]
- bug with the Sun C compiler with the -xO3 optimization level on sparc/Solaris, reported by Maciej Blizinski on August 3, 2
- a bug with GCC 4.3.2 (and 4.4.1) was found while testing MPFR 3.1.0-rc1 on gcc54.fsffrance.org (UltraSparc IIe under De
- a bug in the initialisation of Thread Local Storage variables in shared libraries on FreeBSD 8.2 (still present in GCC trunk a
- <u>#50683</u>, a bug with Thread Local Storage variables with GCC 4.6.1 on sparc64 was found with MPFR 3.1.0 on Debian [rep
- <u>#50691</u>, a bug with Thread Local Storage variables with GCC 4.4.6 on hppa-unknown-linux-gnu was found with MPFR 3.1

1. Trust

Why should users trust Herbie?

Compilers forbidden from touching floating point at all ... and they even get that wrong!

Compiler and C library bugs revealed by MPFR

- a bug in 32-bit sparc gcc 2.95.2, when a "double" is passed as last argument of a C function, which produced Bus errors. Re
- a bug in GCC on m68040-unknown-netbsd1.4.1, where DBL_MIN gives (1-2^(-52))/2^1022, reported by Paul Zimmerman
- optimization bug of GCC 3.3 on Alpha with long double, reported by Paul Zimmermann with revision 2542 of MPFR
- bug in LONG MIN / 1 under FreeBSD (this is a bug of the C library of FreeBSD 5.20 on Alpha with GCC 3.3.3), reported
- a compiler bug found on Linux/m68k with GCC 4.0.2, reported by Vincent Lefèvre, found in revision 3945 of MPFR (file to
- 27116 bug of GCC 4.2 reported by Martin Michlmayr when compiling MPFR 2.2.0
- bug of the Sun C compiler under Solaris/x86, reported by Emil Mikulic (affects Sun C 5.8 2005/10/13, Sun C 5.8 Patch 121
- 36296 bug of GCC 4.3.0 reported by Paul Zimmermann when compiling MPFR 2.3.1 (no real bug, but false positive warning
- 36299: a false warning issued by GCC 4.2+, reported by Vincent Lefèvre in revision 5585 of MPFR
- 39867 bug of GCC 4.4.0 reported by Philippe Théveny when testing the MPFR trunk (does not affect MPFR 2.4.1, but MPF
- 40757 revealed when testing MPFR 2.4.1 on some Solaris machines with GCC 4.4.0 (this is in fact a bug of the Solaris men
- bug with gcc 3.3.2 in AIX 6.1, where "make check" from mpfr 2.4.2 fails, but succeeds with gcc 3.3.2 in AIX 5.3 [reported
- bug with GCC 4.5.2 on powerpc-ibm-aix4.3.2.0 [reported by Daniel Richard G.]
- bug with the Sun C compiler with the -xO3 optimization level on sparc/Solaris, reported by Maciej Blizinski on August 3, 2
- a bug with GCC 4.3.2 (and 4.4.1) was found while testing MPFR 3.1.0-rc1 on gcc54.fsffrance.org (UltraSparc IIe under Del
- a bug in the initialisation of Thread Local Storage variables in shared libraries on FreeBSD 8.2 (still present in GCC trunk a
- <u>#50683</u>, a <u>bug</u> with Thread Local Storage variables with GCC 4.6.1 on sparc64 was found with MPFR 3.1.0 on Debian [rep
- #50691, a bug with Thread Local Storage variables with GCC 4.4.6 on hppa-unknown-linux-gnu was found with MPFR 3.1

No really, why should users trust Herbie?





















That which is measured, improves.

Herbie is a complex pipeline.

Each component individually robust.

- Actually works against debugging!!

Need to have per-module specs and metrics.

That which is measured, improves.

5.164 * [progress]: [Phase 1 of 3] Setting up. 0.001 * * * [progress]: [1/2] Preparing points	
0.080 * * [progress]: [2/2] Setting up program.	
0.003 * [piogress]; [Flase 2 of 3] imploying.	
(- (proj + 2 y)) = 0)	
(-(exp((ax)), 1.0)) (137 * *(exp((ax)), 1.0))	
$0.137 * [simplify]$, iteration $0 \cdot 4778$ endes (cost 6)	
0.137 * [simplify]. Iteration 1. 4776 choices (cost 0)	
$(-(\operatorname{pow}(\operatorname{exp})) \times (1,0))$	
(-1)	
0.141 * * * [progress]; picking best candidate	
0.146 * * * [pick]: Picked #calt (\hat{T}_{a} (a x) (- (exp (* a x)) 1.0))>	
0.146 * * * [progress]: localizing error	
0.151 * * * [progress]: generating rewritten candidates	
0.151 * * * * [progress]: [1 / 2] rewriting at (2)	
0.155 * * * * [progress]: [2/2] rewriting at (2)	
0.157 * * * [progress]; generating series expansions	
0.157 * * * * [progress]: [1/2] generating series at (2)	
0.157 * [approximate]: Taking taylor expansion of (- (exp (* a x)) 1.0) in (a x) around (
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (- (exp (* a x)) 1.0) in x	,
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (exp (* a x)) in x	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of $(* a x)$ in x	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of a in x	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of x in x	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of 1.0 in x	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (- (exp (* a x)) 1.0) in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of $(exp(* a x))$ in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (* a x) in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of a in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of x in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of 1.0 in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (- (exp (* a x)) 1.0) in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (exp (* a x)) in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (* a x) in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of a in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of x in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of 1.0 in a	
0.157 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of 0 in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of x in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (* 1/2 (pow x 2)) in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of 1/2 in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (pow x 2) in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of x in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (* 1/6 (pow x 3)) in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of 1/6 in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of (pow x 3) in x	
0.158 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of x in x	
0.159 * [taylor]: Taking taylor expansion of $(* 1/24 \text{ (pow x 4)})$ in x	
0 150 + (tenley), Making tenley expression of 1/24 in w	

No "just enough" level of detail is right for logs...

1	Fimeline P	rofile							Report	
	Time her	(total: 1.0c)								De
	Time Dai	(10141. 1.98)	_							tai
										15
H	analyze								43 0ms	
									10101110	
	Algorithm	search								
	Search	True	Other	False	Iter					
		0%	99.9%	0.1%	0					
		0%	99.9%	0.1%	1					
		0%	99.9%	0.1%	2					
		50%	50%	0.1%	3					
		50%	50%	0.1%	4					
		62.4%	37.5%	0.1%	5					
		62.4%	31.2%	6.3%	6					
		68.7%	21.9%	9.5%	7					
		68.7%	17.2%	14.1%	8					
		71.8%	11.7%	16.5%	9					
		71.8%	9%	19.2%	10					
		73.4%	6%	20.6%	11					
		73.4%	4.0%	22%	12					
		74.1%	5.1% 2.2%	22.8%	13					
		/4.1%	2.3%	23.3%	14					
	sample								39.0ms	
	Algorithm	intervals								
	Results	20.0ms	88×	body	128	80 va	lid			

Timeline Pr	ofile							Report		
									D	
Time bar (total: 1.9s)								eta	
									ils	
analyze								43 0ms		
anaryze								45.0113		
Algorithm	search									
Search	True	Other	False	Iter						
	0%	99.9%	0.1%	0						
	0%	99.9%	0.1%	1				Bulla	ger	neric telemetry!
	0%	99.9%	0.1%	2					-	
	50%	50%	0.1%	3						
	50%	50%	0.1%	4						
	62.4%	37.5%	0.1%	5						
	62.4%	21.0%	0.5%	0				Itera	itive	lv develop viz!
	68.7%	17.2%	9.5%	, e					_	
	71.8%	11.2%	16.5%	0			•			
	71.8%	9%	19.2%	10			_			
	73.4%	6%	20.6%	10						
	73.4%	4.6%	20.070	12				–	00	
	74.1%	3.1%	22.8%	13				(UUS	bugs fixed,
	74.1%	2.3%	23.5%	14				10	nnn	(anoodunal
										speedups:
sample										
Algorithm	intervals									
Results	20.0ms	88×	body	1280	valid					



Timeline Pro	ofile					Report			
							_	┨	Global overviews
Time bar ((total: 1.9s)						Deta		
							lls		
analyze						43.0ms			
Algorithm	search								
Search	True	Other	False	Iter					
	0%	99.9%	0.1%	0					
	0%	99.9%	0.1%	1					
	0%	99.9%	0.1%	2					
	50%	50%	0.1%	3					
	50%	50%	0.1%	4					
	62.4%	37.5%	0.1%	5					
	62.4%	31.2%	6.3%	6					
	68.7%	21.9%	9.5%	7					
	68.7%	17.2%	14.1%	8					
	71.8%	11./%	10.5%	9					
	71.8%	9%	19.2%	10					
	73.4%	0% 4.6%	20.0%	11					
	73.470	3.1%	22.70	12					
	74.1%	2.3%	22.5%	13					
	/ 1.1/0	2.570	2010/0						
sample						39.0ms			
Algorithm	intervals								
Results	20.0ms	88×	body	1280	valid				





We are all in this together.





We are all in this together.

FPTalks 2020

The leading edge of floating-point research Home Benchmarks Compilers Standards

The floating-point research community. Online. June 24, 2020.

FPTalks 2020 was held online over Zoom. All talks were live-streamed and recorded on Youtube. Each talk was 10 minutes long, followed by audience questions.

Applications Driving Architectures

FPTalks 2020 was supported in part by the Applications Driving Architectures (ADA) Research Center, a JUMP Center co-sponsored by SRC and DARPA.

Session 1 (Session Stream)

8:00-9:00 PDT

Welcome Pavel Panchekha, University of Utah Video, Slides, FPBench

We are all in this together.



We are all in this together.



3. Community We are all in this together.



3. Community We are all in this together.



Supporting a rapidly evolving landscape

Supporting a rapidly evolving landscape

Explosion of numerical representations.

How do we adapt code? Optimize? Need tools!

Supporting a rapidly evolving landscape

Explosion of numerical representations.

How do we adapt code? Optimize? Need tools!



Supporting a rapidly evolving landscape



Supporting a rapidly evolving landscape

Increasing interest in numerics of tensor code.

Supporting a rapidly evolving landscape

Increasing interest in numerics of tensor code.

```
FPBench 2.0 adds tensor
(FPCore matmul ((A am an) (B bm bn))
                                          support, keeps minimal core
   :pre (== an bm)
    (tensor ([m am]
              [n bn])
   (for ([i bm])
        ([prod 0 (+ prod (* (ref A m i) (ref B i n)))])
        prod))
```

Where do we go from here?

Trust: ground truth for MPMF

Measurement: metrics for tensor benchmarks

Community: outreach from research to practice

Generality: extensibility for new formats

A brief announcement... Herbie 1.4 just released!



Please take it for a spin :) herbie.uwplse.org

HERBIA

Many Acknowledgements!

Alex Sanchez-Stern Bill Zorn David Thien **Oliver Flatt** Brett Saiki Jason Qiu Ian Briggs

Heiko Becker Eva Darulova Max Willsey James Wilcox Jack Firth Mike Lam ... and more!





Thank You!

Trust: ground truth for MPMF

Measurement: metrics for tensor benchmarks

Community: outreach from research to practice

Generality: extensibility for new formats

herbie.uwplse.org



fpbench.org